arXiv:math/0606019v1 [math.GR] 1 Jun 2006

ON NON-LOCALLY CONNECTED BOUNDARIES OF CAT(0) SPACES

TETSUYA HOSAKA

Abstract. In this paper, we study CAT(0) spaces with non-locally connected boundary. We give some condition of a CAT(0) space whose boundary is not locally connected.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper, we study proper CAT(0) spaces with non-locally connected boundary. A metric space X is said to be *proper* if every closed metric ball is compact. Definitions and basic properties of CAT(0) spaces and their boundaries are found in [1].

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and let γ be an isometry of X. The *translation length* of γ is the number $|\gamma| := \inf\{d(x,\gamma x) \mid x \in X\}$, and the *minimal set* of γ is defined as $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) = \{x \in X \mid d(x,\gamma x) = |\gamma|\}$. An isometry γ of X is said to be *hyperbolic*, if $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ and $|\gamma| > 0$ (cf. [1]). For a hyperbolic isometry γ of a proper CAT(0) space X, γ^∞ is the limit point of the boundary ∂X to which the sequence $\{\gamma^i x_0\}_i$ converges, where x_0 is a point of X.

In this paper, we define a *reflection* of a geodesic space as follows: An isometry r of a geodesic space X is called a *reflection* of X, if

(1) r^2 is the identity of X,
(2) $X \setminus F_r$ has exactly two convex connected components X_r^+ and X_r^- and
(3) $rX_r^+ = X_r^-$,

where F_r is the fixed-points set of r. We note that "reflections" in this paper need not satisfy the condition (4) $\mathrm{Int}\, F_r = \emptyset$ in [4].

A CAT(0) space X is said to be *almost extendible*, if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for each pair of points $x, y \in X$, there is a geodesic ray $\zeta : [0,\infty) \to X$ such that $\zeta(0) = x$ and ζ passes within M of y. In [8], Ontaneda has proved that a CAT(0) space on which some

---

Date: April 12, 2006.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M07.
Key words and phrases. the boundary of a CAT(0) space.

group acts geometrically (i.e. properly and cocompactly by isometries) is almost extendible.

In [5] and [6], Mihalik, Ruane and Tschantz have proved some nice results about CAT(0) groups with (non-)locally connected boundary.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a proper and almost extendible CAT(0) space, let γ be a hyperbolic isometry of X and let r be a reflection of X. If*

(1) $\gamma^\infty \notin \partial F_r$,
(2) $\gamma(\partial F_r) \subset \partial F_r$ *and*
(3) $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) \cap F_r = \emptyset$,

then the boundary ∂X of X is not locally connected.

2. TOPOLOGY OF THE BOUNDARY OF A CAT(0) SPACE

In this section, we recall topology of the boundary of a CAT(0) space.

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and $x_0 \in X$. The *boundary of X with respect to x_0*, denoted by $\partial_{x_0} X$, is defined as the set of all geodesic rays issuing from x_0. Then the topology on $X \cup \partial_{x_0} X$ is defined by the following conditions:

(1) X is an open subspace of $X \cup \partial_{x_0} X$.
(2) For $\alpha \in \partial_{x_0} X$ and $R, \epsilon > 0$, let

$$U_{x_0}(\alpha; R, \epsilon) = \{x \in X \cup \partial_{x_0} X \mid x \notin B(x_0, R),\ d(\alpha(R), \xi_x(R)) < \epsilon\},$$

where $\xi_x : [0, d(x_0, x)] \to X$ is the geodesic from x_0 to x ($\xi_x = x$ if $x \in \partial_{x_0} X$). Then for each $\epsilon_0 > 0$, the set

$$\{U_{x_0}(\alpha; R, \epsilon_0) \mid R > 0\}$$

is a neighborhood basis for α in $X \cup \partial_{x_0} X$.

This is called the *cone topology* on $X \cup \partial_{x_0} X$. It is known that $X \cup \partial_{x_0} X$ is a metrizable compactification of X ([1], [3]).

Here the following lemma is known.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a proper CAT(0) space and let $x_0 \in X$. For $\alpha \in \partial_{x_0} X$ and $R, \epsilon > 0$, let*

$$U'_{x_0}(\alpha; R, \epsilon) = \{x \in X \cup \partial_{x_0} X \mid x \notin B(x_0, R),\ d(\alpha(R), \mathrm{Im}\, \xi_x) < \epsilon\},$$

where $\xi_x : [0, d(x_0, x)] \to X$ is the geodesic from x_0 to x ($\xi_x = x$ if $x \in \partial_{x_0} X$). Then for each $\epsilon_0 > 0$, the set

$$\{U'_{x_0}(\alpha; R, \epsilon_0) \mid R > 0\}$$

is also a neighborhood basis for α in $X \cup \partial_{x_0} X$.

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space. The *asymptotic relation* is an equivalence relation in the set of all geodesic rays in X. The *boundary of* X, denoted by ∂X, is defined as the set of asymptotic equivalence classes of geodesic rays. The equivalence class of a geodesic ray ξ is denoted by $\xi(\infty)$. For each $x_0 \in X$ and each $\alpha \in \partial X$, there exists a unique element $\xi \in \partial_{x_0} X$ with $\xi(\infty) = \alpha$. Thus we may identify ∂X with $\partial_{x_0} X$ for each $x_0 \in X$ ([1], [3]).

3. Proof of the theorem

We prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let X be a proper and almost extendible CAT(0) space, let γ be a hyperbolic isometry of X and let r be a reflection of X such that

(1) $\gamma^\infty \notin \partial F_r$,
(2) $\gamma(\partial F_r) \subset \partial F_r$ and
(3) $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) \cap F_r = \emptyset$.

Since X is almost extendible, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for each pair of points $x, y \in X$, there is a geodesic ray $\zeta : [0,\infty) \to X$ such that $\zeta(0) = x$ and ζ passes within M of y. By (1), $\gamma^\infty \notin \partial F_r$. Since ∂F_r is a closed set in ∂X, there exist $R > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; R, \epsilon) \cap \partial F_r = \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.1.

Let $x_0 \in \mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$ and let $\xi : [0,\infty) \to X$ be the geodesic ray in X such that $\xi(0) = x_0$ and $\xi(\infty) = \gamma^\infty$. Then $\mathrm{Im}\,\xi \subset \mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$. Since $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) \cap F_r = \emptyset$ by (3) and $\xi(\infty) = \gamma^\infty \notin \partial F_r$ by (1), there exists a number $K > 0$ such that $d(\xi(K), F_r) > M$. Let $N = d(x_0, rx_0)$. For an enough large number $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i_0|\gamma|, N+K+M) \subset U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; R, \epsilon).$$

We prove that $U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M) \cap \partial X$ is not connected for any $i_0 \le i \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that ∂X is not locally connected, because $\{U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M) \cap \partial X \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, i \ge i_0\}$ is a neighborhood basis of γ^∞ in ∂X.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \ge i_0$. Then $\gamma^i r \gamma^{-i}$ is a reflection of X and $F_{\gamma^i r \gamma^{-i}} = \gamma^i F_r$. Here by (3),

$$F_{\gamma^i r \gamma^{-i}} \cap \mathrm{Min}(\gamma) = \gamma^i F_r \cap \gamma^i \,\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) = \gamma^i (F_r \cap \mathrm{Min}(\gamma)) = \emptyset.$$

Let $X \setminus F_{\gamma^i r \gamma^{-i}} = X_i^+ \cup X_i^-$, where X_i^+ and X_i^- are convex connected components, and $x_0 \in X_i^+$. We consider the geodesic ray $\gamma^i r \xi$ such that $\gamma^i r \xi(0) = \gamma^i r x_0$ and $\gamma^i r \xi(\infty) = \gamma^i r \gamma^\infty$. Since $x_0 \in X_i^+$, $\mathrm{Im}\,\xi \subset X_i^+$

and $\operatorname{Im}\gamma^i r\xi \subset X_i^-$. Hence $\gamma^i r\xi(K) \in X_i^-$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} &d(\gamma^i r x_0, \gamma^i r\xi(K)) = d(x_0, \xi(K)) = K \text{ and} \\ &d(\gamma^i r\xi(K), \gamma^i r F_r) = d(\xi(K), F_r) > M. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the number M, there exists a geodesic ray $\zeta_i : [0,\infty) \to X$ such that $\zeta_i(0) = x_0$ and ζ_i passes within M of $\gamma^i r\xi(K)$. Since $d(\gamma^i r\xi(K), \gamma^i r F_r) > M$, $\zeta_i(\infty) \in \partial X_i^-$. Because if $\zeta_i(\infty) \in \partial X \setminus \partial X_i^- = \partial(X_i^+ \cup \gamma^i F_r)$ then $\operatorname{Im}\zeta_i \subset X_i^+ \cup \gamma^i F_r$, since $X_i^+ \cup \gamma^i F_r$ is convex and $\zeta_i(0) = x_0 \in X_i^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma^i x_0, \operatorname{Im}\zeta_i) &\le d(\gamma^i x_0, \gamma^i r x_0) + d(\gamma^i r x_0, \gamma^i r\xi(K)) + d(\gamma^i r\xi(K), \operatorname{Im}\zeta_i) \\ &\le d(x_0, r x_0) + d(x_0, \xi(K)) + M \\ &= N + K + M. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\xi(\infty) = \gamma^\infty$ and $\gamma^i x_0 = \xi(i|\gamma|)$, since $x_0 \in \operatorname{Min}(\gamma)$. Hence $\zeta_i \in U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M)$.

Now we show that there does not exist a path from γ^∞ to $\zeta_i(\infty)$ in $U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M) \cap \partial X$. Since $\gamma^\infty \in \partial X_i^+$ and $\zeta_i(\infty) \in \partial X_i^-$, such pass must intersect with $\partial F_{\gamma^i r\gamma^{-i}}$. Here

$$\partial F_{\gamma^i r\gamma^{-i}} = \partial(\gamma^i F_r) = \gamma^i(\partial F_r) \subset \partial F_r,$$

by (2). We note that $U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; R, \epsilon) \cap \partial F_r = \emptyset$ and

$$\begin{aligned} U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M) &\subset U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i_0|\gamma|, N+K+M) \\ &\subset U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; R, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M) \cap \partial F_{\gamma^i r\gamma^{-i}} = \emptyset.$$

Thus there does not exist a path between γ^∞ and $\zeta_i(\infty)$ in $U'_{x_0}(\gamma^\infty; i|\gamma|, N+K+M) \cap \partial X$.

Therefore ∂X is not locally connected. □

4. REMARK

Every CAT(0) space on which some group acts geometrically (i.e. properly and cocompactly by isometries) is proper ([1, p.132]) and almost extendible ([8]).

In [9], Ruane has proved that $\partial\operatorname{Min}(\gamma)$ is the fixed-points set of γ in ∂X, i.e.,

$$\partial\operatorname{Min}(\gamma) = \{\alpha \in \partial X \mid \gamma\alpha = \alpha\}.$$

Hence, for example, if $\partial F_r \subset \partial\operatorname{Min}(\gamma)$ then $\gamma(\partial F_r) = \partial F_r$ and the condition (2) in Theorem 1.1 holds.

A Coxeter system (W, S) defines a *Davis complex* $\Sigma(W, S)$ which is a CAT(0) space ([2] and [7]). Then the Coxeter group W acts geometrically on $\Sigma(W, S)$ and each $s \in S$ is a reflection of $\Sigma(W, S)$.

For example, as an application of Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let (W, S) be a right-angled Coxeter system and let $\Sigma(W, S)$ be the Davis complex of (W, S). Suppose that there exist $s_0, s_1, u_0 \in S$ such that*

(1) $o(s_0 s_1) = \infty$,

(2) $o(s_0 u_0) = \infty$ *and*

(3) $s_0 t = t s_0$ *and* $s_1 t = t s_1$ *for each* $t \in \tilde{T}$,

where $T = \{t \in S \mid t u_0 = u_0 t\}$ and $\tilde{T}$ is the subset of S such that $W_{\tilde{T}}$ is the minimum parabolic subgroup of finite index in W_T. Then the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$ is not locally connected.

Proof. Let $\gamma = s_0 s_1$ and $r = u_0$. Then γ is a hyperbolic isometry of $\Sigma(W, S)$ by (1), r is a reflection of $\Sigma(W, S)$ and $\partial F_r = \partial\Sigma(W_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{T})$. Here by (3),

$$\gamma(\partial F_r) = (s_0 s_1)\partial\Sigma(W_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{T}) = \partial\Sigma(W_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{T}) = \partial F_r.$$

Also

$$\gamma^\infty = (s_0 s_1)^\infty \notin \partial\Sigma(W_{\tilde{T}}, \tilde{T}) = \partial F_r,$$

and $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) \cap F_r = \emptyset$ by (2). Thus the conditions in Theorem 1.1 hold, and $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$ is not locally connected. □

Corollary 4.1 is a special case of Theorem 3.2 in [6]. We can also obtain Corollary 4.1 from Theorem 3.2 in [6].

References

[1] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[2] M. W. Davis, *Nonpositive curvature and reflection groups*, in Handbook of geometric topology (Edited by R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher), pp. 373–422, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[3] E. Ghys and P. de la Harpe (ed), *Sur les Groups Hyperboliques d'apres Mikhael Gromov*, Progr. Math. vol. 83, Birkhäuser, Boston MA, 1990.

[4] T. Hosaka, *Reflection groups of geodesic spaces and Coxeter groups*, Topology Appl., to appear.

[5] M. Mihalik and K. Ruane, *CAT(0) groups with non-locally connected boundary*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999), 757–770.

[6] M. Mihalik, K. Ruane and S. Tschantz, *Local connectivity of right-angled Coxeter group boundaries*, preprint.

[7] G. Moussong, *Hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, The Ohio State University, 1988.

[8] P. Ontaneda, *Local extendability of CAT(0) spaces*, preprint.
[9] K. Ruane, *Dynamics of the action of a CAT(0) groups on the boundary*, Geom. Dedicata **84** (2001), 81–99.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UTSUNOMIYA UNIVERSITY, UTSUNOMIYA, 321-8505, JAPAN
E-mail address: `hosaka@cc.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp`